

## Contents

Photos ..... 3
Rating Tables ..... 8
Model GBECT-08, Free Flow Only ..... 9
Model GBECT-16, Free flow Only ..... 10
Model GBECT-24 - Free Flow Only ..... 11
Selection and Installation of Cutthroat Flumes ..... 12
Photos
Photos 1 and 2. Cutthroat flume, Model GBECT-16s. A 16 inch throat with a max cfs of6.0 cfs. Stainless steel model. Top photo inlet, bottom outlet3
Photos 3 and 4. Cutthroat flume, Model GBECT-16. A 16 inch throat with a max cfs of6.0 cfs., flowing at 2 cfs. Galvanized steel model, 14 gauge. This is a free flowconditions.4
Photos 5 and 6. Cutthroat flume, Model GBECT-12. A 12 inch throat with a max cfs of 8.0 cfs., flowing at 4.97 cfs. Galvanized steel model. 12 gauge. This is a free flow conditions. Bottom photo is during assembly. ..... 5
Photos 7. Cutthroat flume, Model GBECT-16. A 16 inch throat with a max cfs of 6.0 cfs.,flowing at 1.0 cfs. Galvanized steel model. 14 gauge. This is a free flow conditions. 6Photos 8. Cutthroat flume, Model GBECT-24. A 24 inch throat with a max cfs of 16.0cfs. Galvanized steel model, 12 gauge.6
Drawing- Parts
Drawing 1. Cutthroat flume, GBECT-16, parts ..... 7

Photos
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Photos 3 and 4. Cutthroat flume, Model GBECT-16. A 16 inch throat with a max cfs of 6.0 cfs., flowing at 2 cfs . Galvanized steel model, 14 gauge. This is a free flow conditions.



Photos 5 and 6. Cutthroat flume, Model GBECT-12. A 12 inch throat with a max cfs of 8.0 cfs., flowing at 4.97 cfs. Galvanized steel model. 12 gauge. This is a free flow conditions. Bottom photo is during assembly.



Photos 7. Cutthroat flume, Model GBECT-16. A 16 inch throat with a max cfs of 6.0 cfs ., flowing at 1.0 cfs . Galvanized steel model. 14 gauge. This is a free flow conditions.


Photos 8. Cutthroat flume, Model GBECT-24. A 24 inch throat with a max cfs of 16.0 cfs. Galvanized steel model, 12 gauge.



Drawing 1. Cutthroat flume, GBECT-16, parts.


## RATING TABLES

# THREE SIZES <br> OF CUTTHROAT FLUMES 

8" x 3 ft - 2.97 cfs maximum @ 1 ft<br>Model, GBECT-08<br>16" x 3 ft - 6.04 cfs Maximum @ 1 ft<br>Model, GBECT-16

\&
24" x 54"-16.09 cfs maximum @ 1.5 ft Model, GBECT-24

FREE FLOW CONDITIONS ONLY


## Model GBECT-08, Free Flow Only

## CUTTHROAT FLUME 8" X 3FT - 2.97 CFS MAXIMUM @ 1 FT

$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is located at the stage plate (units - feet)
$\mathrm{cfs}=2.970\left(\mathrm{Ha}^{1.84}\right)$
$g p m=1332\left(H_{a}^{1.84}\right)$

| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | cfs | gpm | acre ft/day | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | cfs | gpm | acre ft/ day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 0.043 | 19.25 | 0.09 | 0.56 | 1.022 | 458.32 |  |
| 0.11 | 0.051 | 22.94 |  | 0.57 | 1.056 | 473.49 |  |
| 0.12 | 0.060 | 26.93 |  | 0.58 | 1.090 | 488.89 |  |
| 0.13 | 0.070 | 31.20 |  | 0.59 | 1.125 | 504.51 |  |
| 0.14 | 0.080 | 35.76 |  | 0.6 | 1.160 | 520.36 | 2.30 |
| 0.15 | 0.091 | 40.60 | 0.18 | 0.61 | 1.196 | 536.43 |  |
| 0.16 | 0.102 | 45.72 |  | 0.62 | 1.232 | 552.72 |  |
| 0.17 | 0.114 | 51.11 |  | 0.63 | 1.269 | 569.23 |  |
| 0.18 | 0.127 | 56.78 |  | 0.64 | 1.307 | 585.97 |  |
| 0.19 | 0.140 | 62.72 |  | 0.65 | 1.344 | 602.93 | 2.66 |
| 0.2 | 0.154 | 68.93 | 0.30 | 0.66 | 1.383 | 620.10 |  |
| 0.21 | 0.168 | 75.40 |  | 0.67 | 1.421 | 637.50 |  |
| 0.22 | 0.183 | 82.14 |  | 0.68 | 1.461 | 655.12 |  |
| 0.23 | 0.199 | 89.14 |  | 0.69 | 1.501 | 672.96 |  |
| 0.24 | 0.215 | 96.40 |  | 0.7 | 1.541 | 691.01 | 3.05 |
| 0.25 | 0.232 | 103.92 | 0.46 | 0.71 | 1.582 | 709.28 |  |
| 0.26 | 0.249 | 111.70 |  | 0.72 | 1.623 | 727.77 |  |
| 0.27 | 0.267 | 119.73 |  | 0.73 | 1.664 | 746.48 |  |
| 0.28 | 0.285 | 128.02 |  | 0.74 | 1.707 | 765.40 |  |
| 0.29 | 0.304 | 136.56 |  | 0.75 | 1.749 | 784.54 | 3.47 |
| 0.3 | 0.324 | 145.35 | 0.64 | 0.76 | 1.792 | 803.90 |  |
| 0.31 | 0.344 | 154.39 |  | 0.77 | 1.836 | 823.47 |  |
| 0.32 | 0.365 | 163.67 |  | 0.78 | 1.880 | 843.25 |  |
| 0.33 | 0.386 | 173.21 |  | 0.79 | 1.925 | 863.25 |  |
| 0.34 | 0.408 | 182.99 |  | 0.8 | 1.970 | 883.47 | 3.90 |
| 0.35 | 0.430 | 193.01 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 2.015 | 903.89 |  |
| 0.36 | 0.453 | 203.28 |  | 0.82 | 2.061 | 924.53 |  |
| 0.37 | 0.477 | 213.79 |  | 0.83 | 2.108 | 945.38 |  |
| 0.38 | 0.501 | 224.55 |  | 0.84 | 2.155 | 966.45 |  |
| 0.39 | 0.525 | 235.54 |  | 0.85 | 2.202 | 987.72 | 4.37 |
| 0.4 | 0.550 | 246.77 | 1.09 | 0.86 | 2.250 | 1009.21 |  |
| 0.41 | 0.576 | 258.24 |  | 0.87 | 2.299 | 1030.91 |  |
| 0.42 | 0.602 | 269.95 |  | 0.88 | 2.347 | 1052.82 |  |
| 0.43 | 0.629 | 281.89 |  | 0.89 | 2.397 | 1074.93 |  |
| 0.44 | 0.656 | 294.07 |  | 0.9 | 2.447 | 1097.26 | 4.85 |
| 0.45 | 0.683 | 306.49 | 1.35 | 0.91 | 2.497 | 1119.80 |  |
| 0.46 | 0.712 | 319.14 |  | 0.92 | 2.548 | 1142.55 |  |
| 0.47 | 0.740 | 332.02 |  | 0.93 | 2.599 | 1165.50 |  |
| 0.48 | 0.770 | 345.13 |  | 0.94 | 2.650 | 1188.67 |  |
| 0.49 | 0.799 | 358.48 |  | 0.95 | 2.703 | 1212.04 | 5.36 |
| 0.5 | 0.830 | 372.06 | 1.64 | 0.96 | 2.755 | 1235.62 |  |
| 0.51 | 0.860 | 385.86 |  | 0.97 | 2.808 | 1259.40 |  |
| 0.52 | 0.892 | 399.90 |  | 0.98 | 2.862 | 1283.39 |  |
| 0.53 | 0.923 | 414.16 |  | 0.99 | 2.916 | 1307.59 |  |
| 0.54 | 0.956 | 428.66 |  | 1 | 2.970 | 1332.00 | 5.89 |
| 0.55 | 0.989 | 443.38 | 1.96 |  |  |  |  |

cfs = cubic feet/second gpm = gallons/minute

## Caution!

If $H_{a}$ is greater than 1 ft the values in this chart are not valid.

## Model GBECT-16, Free flow Only

## CUTTHROAT FLUME 16" X 3FT - 6.04 CFS MAXIMUM @ 1 FT

$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is located at the stage plate (units - feet)
cfs $=6.04\left(H_{a}^{1.84}\right) \quad g p m=2710\left(H_{a}^{1.84}\right)$

| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | CfS | gpm | acre ft/ day | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | cfs | gpm | acre <br> ft/ day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 0.087 | 39.171 | 0.17 | 0.56 | 2.078 | 932.47 |  |
| 0.11 | 0.104 | 46.68 |  | 0.57 | 2.147 | 963.34 |  |
| 0.12 | 0.122 | 54.79 |  | 0.58 | 2.217 | 994.66 |  |
| 0.13 | 0.141 | 63.48 |  | 0.59 | 2.288 | 1026.45 |  |
| 0.14 | 0.162 | 72.75 |  | 0.6 | 2.360 | 1058.69 | 4.68 |
| 0.15 | 0.184 | 82.60 | 0.36 | 0.61 | 2.432 | 1091.38 |  |
| 0.16 | 0.207 | 93.01 |  | 0.62 | 2.506 | 1124.53 |  |
| 0.17 | 0.232 | 103.99 |  | 0.63 | 2.581 | 1158.13 |  |
| 0.18 | 0.257 | 115.52 |  | 0.64 | 2.657 | 1192.18 |  |
| 0.19 | 0.284 | 127.61 |  | 0.65 | 2.734 | 1226.68 | 5.42 |
| 0.2 | 0.313 | 140.24 | 0.62 | 0.66 | 2.812 | 1261.62 |  |
| 0.21 | 0.342 | 153.41 |  | 0.67 | 2.891 | 1297.02 |  |
| 0.22 | 0.372 | 167.12 |  | 0.68 | 2.971 | 1332.86 |  |
| 0.23 | 0.404 | 181.36 |  | 0.69 | 3.052 | 1369.15 |  |
| 0.24 | 0.437 | 196.14 |  | 0.7 | 3.133 | 1405.88 | 6.21 |
| 0.25 | 0.471 | 211.44 | 0.93 | 0.71 | 3.216 | 1443.06 |  |
| 0.26 | 0.507 | 227.26 |  | 0.72 | 3.300 | 1480.68 |  |
| 0.27 | 0.543 | 243.60 |  | 0.73 | 3.385 | 1518.74 |  |
| 0.28 | 0.581 | 260.46 |  | 0.74 | 3.471 | 1557.24 |  |
| 0.29 | 0.619 | 277.83 |  | 0.75 | 3.558 | 1596.18 | 7.05 |
| 0.3 | 0.659 | 295.71 | 1.31 | 0.76 | 3.645 | 1635.56 |  |
| 0.31 | 0.700 | 314.10 |  | 0.77 | 3.734 | 1675.38 |  |
| 0.32 | 0.742 | 333.00 |  | 0.78 | 3.824 | 1715.63 |  |
| 0.33 | 0.785 | 352.40 |  | 0.79 | 3.914 | 1756.32 |  |
| 0.34 | 0.830 | 372.30 |  | 0.8 | 4.006 | 1797.44 | 7.94 |
| 0.35 | 0.875 | 392.69 | 1.73 | 0.81 | 4.099 | 1839.00 |  |
| 0.36 | 0.922 | 413.59 |  | 0.82 | 4.192 | 1880.99 |  |
| 0.37 | 0.969 | 434.97 |  | 0.83 | 4.287 | 1923.41 |  |
| 0.38 | 1.018 | 456.85 |  | 0.84 | 4.382 | 1966.27 |  |
| 0.39 | 1.068 | 479.21 |  | 0.85 | 4.479 | 2009.56 | 8.88 |
| 0.4 | 1.119 | 502.06 | 2.22 | 0.86 | 4.576 | 2053. 27 |  |
| 0.41 | 1.171 | 525.40 |  | 0.87 | 4.675 | 2097.42 |  |
| 0.42 | 1.224 | 549.22 |  | 0.88 | 4.774 | 2141.99 |  |
| 0.43 | 1.278 | 573.52 |  | 0.89 | 4.874 | 2186.99 |  |
| 0.44 | 1.333 | 598.30 |  | 0.9 | 4.976 | 2232.42 | 9.86 |
| 0.45 | 1.390 | 623.56 | 2.75 | 0.91 | 5.078 | 2278.27 |  |
| 0.46 | 1.447 | 649.30 |  | 0.92 | 5.181 | 2324.55 |  |
| 0.47 | 1.506 | 675.51 |  | 0.93 | 5.285 | 2371.25 |  |
| 0.48 | 1.565 | 702.19 |  | 0.94 | 5. 390 | 2418.38 |  |
| 0.49 | 1.626 | 729.34 |  | 0.95 | 5.496 | 2465.93 | 10.89 |
| 0.5 | 1.687 | 756.96 | 3.34 | 0.96 | 5.603 | 2513.90 |  |
| 0.51 | 1.750 | 785.05 |  | 0.97 | 5.711 | 2562.30 |  |
| 0.52 | 1.813 | 813.61 |  | 0.98 | 5.820 | 2611.11 |  |
| 0.53 | 1.878 | 842.63 |  | 0.99 | 5.929 | 2660.35 |  |
| 0.54 | 1.944 | 872.12 |  | 1 | 6.040 | 2710.00 | 11.97 |
| 0.55 | 2.011 | 902.06 | 3.99 |  |  |  |  |

cfs = cubic feet/second gpm = gallons/minute

## Caution!

If $H_{a}$ is greater than 1 ft the values in this chart are not valid.

## Model GBECT-24 - Free Flow Only

CUTTHROAT FLUME 24" X 54" - 16.09 CFS MAXIMUM @ 1.5 FT
$H_{a}$ is located at the stage plate (units - feet)
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## Abstract

## SELECTION AND INSTALLATION OF CUTTHROAT FLUMES FOR MEASURING IRRIGATION AND DRAINAGE WATER

The Cutthroat flume has been developed for operation under both free flow and submerged flow conditions. The flume has a flat bottom, vertical walls, and a zero-length throat section. The most obvious advantage of a Cutthroat flume is economy of fabrication due to the flat bottom and elimination of the throat section common to other flow measuring flumes. Another advantage is that all flumes have the same convergence and divergence ratios, thereby allowing the same forms or patterns to be used for any throat width. The use of a consistent geometric shape has facilitated the development of generalized free flow and submerged discharge relations. Any flume length between 1.5 feet and 9 feet can be used, while throat widths between 1 inch and 6 feet have been investigated.

The differences between free flow and submerged flow conditions are discussed and the necessary criteria for determining which flow regime exists are established. The transition submergences are given for the range of flume sizes investigated. For free flow, the ratio of iniet flow depth to flume length should preferably be less than 0.4. The accuracy of discharge measurement for submerged flow rapidly deteriorates above submergences of 95 percent. Examples are given which illustrate the design procedure for determining flume size, as well as obtaining the free flow and submerged flow ratings. Proper installation and maintenance procedures for Cutthroat flumes are described.

KEYWORDS - *drainage, flow measurement, *flumes, *hydraulics, hydraulic structures, *irrigation, *measuring instruments, open channel flow, subcritical flow.
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## Nomenclature

| B: | Entrance and exit width for Cutthroat flume, feet |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ : | Free flow coefficient |
| $c_{2}$ : | Submerged flow coefficient |
| $h_{a}$ : | Upstream flow depth, feet |
| $h_{b}$ : | Downstream flow depth, feet |
| $\Delta \mathrm{h}$ : | Difference in flow depth, ( $h_{a}-h_{b}$ ), feet |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ : | Free flow flume length coefficient |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ : | Submerged flow flume length coefficient |
| L: | Length of the Cutthroat flume, feet |
| M | Constriction ratio for Cutthroat flume, (W/B) |
| $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ : | Free flow exponent |
| $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ : | Submerged flow exponent |
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| W: | Flume throat width, feet |

## Introduction

Procedures and methods for more accurate measurement and improved management of water are continually being sought to make better use of our water resources. Of all the devices and structures developed for measuring water, measuring flumes are among the most widely accepted and used. The most common measuring flume is the Parshall flume developed by Ralph Parshall (1926) at Colorado State University.

The probiem of detemining the flow rate in open channels is one which has been considered for many years. The rapidly increasing value of water is commanding new interest in the development of new open channel flow measuring devices. Water measuring devices are important for: (a) water conservation; (b) equitable distribution of water; (c) determining the amount of available water; (d) meeting legal requirements; and (e) successful management of the available supply.

A water measuring flume consists of an open channel structure containing a constricted section. The constriction is formed by either raising the floor or by reducing the width between the sidewalls, or both. The discharge characteristics are the same for both
types; however, the raised floor is usually classified as a weir rather than a flume. Also, unless great care is taken in =designing the raised floor section, some of the self cleaning properties may be lost.

A flow measuring device which has been recently developed is the Cutthroat flume (Skogerboe, Hyatt, Anderson, and Eggleston, 1967). The original studies have been extended by Bennett (1972) in rating a group of Cutthroat flumes which have the same geometric shape. Then, since all of the flumes are basically similar, the flow behavior, or discharge characteristics, of other Cutthroat flume sizes can be predicted. Because of this similarity, the behavior of all flumes intermediate in size to those tested is capable of being predicted within a degree of accuracy suitable for field use.

In flat gradient channels, it may be desirable to install a flume to operate under conditions of submerged flow rather than free flow in order to: (1) reduce energy losses, and (2) place the flume on the channel bed to minimize the increase in water surface elevation upstream from the flume. The purpose of the research efforts reported herein was to develop a flume which would operate satisfactorily under both free flow and submerged flow conditions.

## Development of Flume

Previous studies by Robinson and Chamberlain (1960) and Hyatt (1965) indicate that a flume having a flat bottom is satisfactory for both free flow and submerged flow operation. The advantages of a level flume floor, as opposed to the inclined floor in the throat and exit sections of the Parshall flume are: (a) ease of construction; (b) the flume can be placed inside a concrete-lined channel; and (c) the flume can be placed on the channel bed.

Ackers and Harrison (1963) recommend a maximum convergence of $3: 1$ for a flume inlet section. Experimental work indicated that this recommendation had merit, and consequently a $3: 1$ convergence (Fig. 1) was used in developing a flat-bottomed flume.

Earlier studies regarding the length of the throat section in flow measuring flumes, discussed in a preceding report (Skogerboe, Hyatt and Eggleston, 1967), showed that flow depths measured in the exit section of a flume resulted in more accurate submerged flow calibration curves than calibrations employing flow depth measurements in the throat section. The water surface profile changes rapidly in the throat section as compared with the exit section where the water surface profile is more nearly horizontal. Consequently, a flow depth in the exit section of the flat-bottomed flume was selected for measurement.

The earlier study by Hyatt (1965) indicated that when the divergence of the flume exit section exceeded 6:1 (for every 6 parts of length, the width increases by 1 part), separation would occur, and a major portion of the flow would adhere to one of the sidewalls.
Although numerous divergences and lengths of exit
section were tested, the $6: 1$ divergence proved most satisfactory as a balance between flow separation and fabrication costs $=$

Since the downstream flow depth was to be measured in the exit section, there appeared to be no apparent advantage in having a throat section. Consequently, testing was initiated with a flat-bottomed flume having only an entrance and an exit section. The flume performed very well. One distinct hydraulic advantage of removing the throat section was improved flow conditions in the exit section. The converging inlet section tended to confine the flow into a jet which traveled along the flume centerline, thus assisting in the prevention of flow separation.

The rectangular flat-bottomed flume, which resulted from the testing program, is illustrated in Fig. 1. Since the flume has no throat section (zero throat length), the flume was given the name "Cutthroat" by the developers (Skogerboe, Hyatt, Anderson, and Eggleston, 1967).

The most obvious advantage of a Cutthroat flume is economy, since fabrication is facilitated by a flatbottom and removal of the throat section (zero throat length). Another advantage is that every flume length has the same entrance and exit section lengths, which allows the same forms or patterns to be used for any desired throat width.

The Cutthroat flume can operate either as a free or submerged flow structure as indicated in this report. Methods for obtaining submerged flow calibration curves and free flow tables are developed and their use illustrated. Discussion and examples regarding the practical aspects of installing, operating and maintaining the structures are qiven.


Figure 1. Definition sketch of a Cutthroat flume.

## Free Flow Analysis

Under free flow conditions, critical depth occurs in the vicinity of minimum width, $W$, which is called the flume throat or the flume neck. The attainment of critical depth makes it possible to determine the flow rate knowing only an upstream depth (e.g., $h_{\mathrm{a}}$ ). This is possible because whenever critical depth occurs in the flume the upstream depth, $h_{a}$, is not affected by changes in the downstream depth, $h_{b}$, as shown in Fig. 2 (water surface profiles $i$ and $i \mathfrak{i}$ ). This results in a unique relation between discharge, $Q$, and upstream flow depth, $h_{a}$.

For free flow operation, the flow rate, $Q$, is plotted as a function of upstream depth, $h_{a}$. When these two variables are plotted on logarithmic paper, all of the points will fall on a straight line as shown in Fig. 3. The equation for this free flow rating can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=C_{1} h_{a}^{n_{1}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q=$ flow rate, in cubic feet per second, $C_{1}=$ free flow coefficient, which is the value of $Q$ when $h_{a}$ is 1.0 foot; which is the slope of the free flow rating when plotted on logarithmic paper.

The value of $n_{1}$ was found to be dependent only upon the flume depth, $L$. Therefore, the value of $n_{1}$ is a constant for all Cutthroat flumes of the same length, regardless of the throat width, $W$. Furthermore, the values of $n_{1}$ for the flumes tested plotted as a smooth curve as shown in Fig. 4. Therefore, the value of $n_{1}$ can be determined for any flume length between 1.5 feet and 9 feet by simply reading the value from the graphs shown in Fig. 4.

The value of the free flow coefficient is a function of both flume length, L , and throat width, W. This
relationship is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=C_{1}=K_{1} W^{1.025} \ldots . . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}=$ the free flow coefficient; $K_{1}=$ the flume length coefficient; and $W=$ the throat width in feet. The values of $K_{1}$ can be obtained from Fig. 4.

Having obtained the values for $n_{1}$ and $C_{1}$ for the flume being used, the discharge can now be calculated for any $h_{a}$ by using Eq. 1, provided free flow conditions exist in the flume. For accurate discharge measurements, the recomnended ratio of flow depth to flume length ( $h_{a} / L$ ) should be equal to or less than 0.4 , with increasing values of this ratio resulting in greater inaccuracies because of higher approach velocities and a more rapidly changing water surface profile at the flume cross-section where $h_{a}$ is measured.

Example 1. A free flow rating is needed for a Cutthroat flume of length, $L=4.0$ feet and width $W=1.167$ feet. From Fig. 4 the value of $n_{1}$ is 1.75 and the value $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ is 4.15 . Then, using Eq. 2 the value of the free flow coefficient, $C_{1}$ is calculated.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1} & =K_{1} W^{1.025} \\
& =4.15(1.167)^{1.025} \\
& =4.15(1.172) \\
& =4.86
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, knowing the values of $n_{1}$ and $C_{1}$, the flow rate through the flume can be calculated for any value of $h_{a}$ using Eq. 1. Assuming $h_{a}=1.20$ feet

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q & =C_{1} h_{a}^{n_{1}} \\
& =4.86(1.20)^{1.75} \\
& =4.86(1.38) \\
& =6.70 \mathrm{cfs}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 2. Illustration of flow conditions in a Cutthroat flume.


Figure 3. Typical free flow rating curve showing actual data points and development of free flow equation.


Figure 4. Generalized free flow ratings for Cutthroat flumes.

## Submerged Flow Analysis

When the flow conditions are such that the downstream flow depth, $h_{b}$, is raised to the extent that the flow depths at every point through the structure become greater than critical depth (resulting in a change in the upstream depth) the flume is operating under submerged flow conditions as shown in Fig. 2 (water surface profile iii). A flume operating under submerged flow conditions requires that two flow depths be measured, one upstream $\left(h_{a}\right)$ and one downstream ( $h_{b}$ ) from the flume neck (also called the flume throat). The submergence, $S$, is defined as the ratio, often expressed as a percentage, of the downstream depth to the upstream depth.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=h_{b} / h_{a} \cdot \cdots \cdots \cdots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Submerged flow calibration curves are determined for the Cutthroat flume by preparing logarithmic plots of the parameters describing submerged flow. The discharge, $Q$, is the ordinate; the difference in upstream and downstream depths of flow, $h_{a}-h_{b}$, is the abscissa; and the submergence, $h_{b} / h_{a}$, is the varying parameter (Fig. 5). Lines are then drawn connecting points of equal submergence. These are straight lines having a slope identical to the slope of the free flow rating curve (which is $n_{1}$ ) for the same geometry.

From the submerged flow plots, an equation has been developed (Skogerboe, Hyatt, Anderson, and Eggleston, 1967) which describes the flow rate through the Cutthroat flume. The equation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{c_{2}\left(h_{a}-h_{b}\right)^{n_{1}}}{(-\log S)^{n_{2}}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}=$ submerged flow coefficient; and $n_{2}=$ submerged flow exponent.

The value of $C_{2}$ and $n_{2}$ must be determined from a plot of the submerged flow data. This can be accomplished by determining the discharge intercept at $h_{a}-h_{b}=1(\Delta h=1)$, denoted by the symbol $Q_{\Delta h}$ and recognizing that $\left(h_{a}-h_{b}\right)^{n_{1}}$ is equal to one, when $h_{a}-h_{b}=1$. Thus, Eq. 4 can be reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\Delta h}=C_{2}(-\log S)^{-n_{2}} \ldots . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By plotting $Q_{\Delta h}$ against $-\log 5$ on logarithmic paper as shown in Fig. 6, a linear relationship should result, where $C_{2}$ is the value of $Q_{\Delta h}$ at $-\log S=1$ and $n_{2}$ is the slope of the straight line.

The value of $n_{2}$ was also found to be dependent only on the flume length, $L$. Therefore, like $n_{1}$, the value of $n_{2}$ is $\overline{\text { constant }}$ for all Cutthroat flumes of the same length regardless of the throat width. The values of $n_{2}$ for the experimental flumes are plotted on a smooth curve as shown in Fig. 7. Therefore, the value of $n_{2}$ can be obtained for any flume length between 1.5 feet and 9 feet by simply reading the value from the graph in Fig. 7.

The submerged flow coefficient is a function of both flume length and throat width. This relationship is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}=K_{2} W^{1.025} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}=$ the submerged flow coefficient; $K_{2}=$ the flume length coefficient; and $W=$ the throat width, in feet. The value of $K_{2}$ can be obtained from Fig. 7.

Having determined the values of $n_{2}$ and $C_{2}$ for the flume being used, the flow rate under submerged flow conditions can now be calculated for any combination of $h_{a}$ and $h_{b}$ by using Eq. 4. At high values of submergence (above 95 percent), small errors in reading $h_{a}$ and $h_{b}$ result in significant errors in calculating the discharge. Thus, as the submergence is increased above 95 percent, the discharge error becomes greater.

Example 2. A submerged flow rating is needed for a Cutthroat flume of length, $L=4.0$ feet and width, $W=1.167$ feet. From Fig. 4 the value of $n_{1}$ is 1.75 . The values of $n_{2}$ and $K_{2}$ are found from Fig. 7 and are equal to 1.43 and 2.37, respectively. Knowing $K_{2}$, the value of $C_{2}$ can be calculated using Eq. 6.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{2} & =K_{2}(W)^{1.025} \\
& =2.37(1.167)^{1.025} \\
& =2.37(1.172) \\
& =2.78
\end{aligned}
$$

Knowing the values of $n_{1}, n_{2}$, and $C_{2}$, the flow rate through the flume can be calculated for any value of $h_{a}$ and $h_{b}$. Assuming $h_{a}=1.20$ feet and $h_{b}=1.10$ feet ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =1.10 / 1.20 \\
& =0.92 \\
Q & =\frac{c_{2}\left(h_{a}-h_{b}\right)^{n_{1}}}{(-\log S)^{n_{2}}} \\
& =\frac{2.78(1.20-1.10)^{1.75}}{(-\log 0.92)^{1.43}}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
&\left(0.78(0.1)^{1.75}\right. \\
&(0.0362)^{1.43} \\
&=\frac{2.78(0.0178)}{0.0088} \\
&=5.63 \mathrm{cfs}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 5. Typical submerged flow rating curve.


Figure 6. Typical plot for developing submerged flow coefficient, $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, and submerged flow exponent, $n_{2}$.


Figure 7. Generalized submerged flow ratings for Cutthroat flume.

## Transition Submergence

The transition submergence, $S_{t}$, is the value of submergence at which the discharge passes from free flow to submerged flow, or vice versa (Fig. 2, water surface profile ii). Under this unique condition, both the free flow equation and the submerged flow equation will predict the same value of discharge.

To determine the transition submergence, $S_{t}$, the free flow and submerged flow equations (Eqs. 1 and 4) are set equal to one another.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} h_{a}^{n_{1}}=\frac{c_{2}\left(h_{a}-h_{b}\right)^{n_{1}}}{-\log \left(h_{b} / h_{a}\right)^{n_{2}}} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing both sides of Eq. 7 by $h_{a}{ }^{n_{1}}$ in order to obtain an expression containing only the submergence and known values of coefficients and exponents, and then recognizing that the submergence is really the transition submergence, Eq. 7 can be reduced to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\log S_{t}\right)^{n_{2}}=\left(c_{2} / C_{1}\right)\left(1-s_{t}\right)^{n_{1}} \cdots \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 8 can be solved by trial and error to obtain the transition submergence.

In order to determine whether free flow or submerged flow conditions exist in a Cutthroat flume, or any flow measuring flume, it is necessary to calculate the submergence, which is then compared with the transition submergence to determine which flow equation should be used. If the submergence is less than the transition submergence, then free flow conditions exist. The flume is operating under submerged flow conditions if the submergence is greater than the transition submergence.

The values obtained for the coefficients and exponents for some common flume sizes are listed in Table 1. The relationship of these values with flume length are shown in Fig. 8. Table 2 has been prepared to provide a ready reference for converting the submergence, $S$ (or transition submergence, $S_{t}$ ) to $-\log 5$.

Table 1. Summary of coefficients, exponents, and transition submergences for selected Cutthroat flume sizes.

| Flume | $4^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ | $8^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{1}$ | $12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 1.458 | 2.468 | 3.500 |
| $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | 1.840 | 1.645 | 1.560 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 4.500 | 3.740 | 3.500 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 0.836 | 1.346 | 1.700 |
| $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | 1.475 | 1.390 | 1.380 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 2.580 | 2.040 | 1.700 |
| $s_{t}$ | 0.650 | 0.740 | 0.800 |
| Flume | 8"×3' | 16"×6' | $24 \times 9{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 2.970 | 5.011 | 7.130 |
| $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | 1.840 | 1.645 | 1.560 |
| K1 | 4.500 | 3.740 | 3.500 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 1.703 | 2.734 | 3.463 |
| ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 1.475 | 1.390 | 1.380 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 2.580 | 2.040 | 1.700 |
| $S_{t}$ | 0.650 | 0.740 | 0.800 |
| Flume | $12^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ | $24^{\prime \prime} \times 6$ " | $36^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 4.500 | 7.618 | 10.780 |
| $n_{1}$ | 1.840 | 1.645 | 1.560 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 4.500 | 3.740 | 3.500 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 2.580 | 4.155 | 5.236 |
| ${ }^{n}$ | 1.475 | 1.390 | 1.380 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 2.580 | 2.040 | 1.700 |
| $S_{t}$ | 0.650 | 0.740 | 0.800 |
| Flume | 16"×3' | $32^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ | $48^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 6.030 | 10.210 | 14.498 |
| $n_{1}$ | 1.840 | 1.645 | 1.560 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 4.500 | 3.740 | 3.500 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 3.457 | 5.569 | 7.038 |
| ${ }^{n}$ | 1.475 | 1.390 | 1.380 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 2.580 | 2.040 | 1.700 |
| $S_{t}$ | 0.650 | 0.740 | 0.800 |

Table 2. Values of $-\log S$ knowing the submergence, S.

| $S$ | $-\log S$ | $S$ | $-109 S$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.65 | 0.1871 | 0.83 | 0.0809 |
| 0.66 | 0.1805 | 0.84 | 0.0757 |
| 0.67 | 0.1739 | 0.85 | 0.0706 |
| 0.68 | 0.1675 | 0.86 | 0.0655 |
| 0.69 | 0.1612 | 0.87 | 0.0605 |
| 0.70 | 0.1549 | 0.88 | 0.0555 |
| 0.71 | 0.187 | 0.89 | 0.0506 |
| 0.72 | 0.1427 | 0.90 | 0.0458 |
| 0.73 | 0.1367 | 0.91 | 0.0410 |
| 0.74 | 0.1308 | 0.92 | 0.0362 |
| 0.75 | 0.1249 | 0.93 | 0.0315 |
| 0.76 | 0.1192 | 0.94 | 0.0269 |
| 0.77 | 0.1132 | 0.95 | 0.0223 |
| 0.78 | 0.1079 | 0.96 | 0.0177 |
| 0.79 | 0.1024 | 0.97 | 0.0132 |
| 0.80 | 0.069 | 0.98 | 0.0088 |
| 0.81 | 0.9915 | 0.99 | 0.0044 |
| 0.82 | 0.0862 |  |  |



Figure 8. Generalized free flow and submerged flow coefficients and exponents and $S_{t}$ for Cutthroat flumes.

## Instalation of Cutthroat Fumes

Any water measuring device must be properly installed to yield adequate results. The first consideration prior to installing a flume is the location or site of the structure. The flume should be placed in a straight section of channel. If operating conditions require frequent changing of the discharge, the flume may be conveniently located near a point of diversion or regulating gate. However, care should be taken to see that the flume is not located too near a gate because of unstable or surging effects which might result from the gate operation. Also, a Cutthroat flume should not be located immediately downstream from a constriction (e.g., culvert, gate, bridge pier, etc.).

After the site has been selected, it is necessary to determine certain desion criteria. The maximum quantity of water to be measured, the depth of flow in the channel corresponding to this discharge, and the allowable head loss through the flume must be determined. For design purposes, the head loss may be taken as the difference in water surface elevation between the flume entrance and exit, which is approximately equal to $h_{a}-h_{b}$. The downstream depth of flow will remain essentially the same after installation of the flume as it was prior to installation, but the upstream depth will increase by the head loss. The allowable increase in upstream depth may be limited by the height of the canal banks upstream from the flume. Such a limiting condition dictates the minimum flume size, and may require operation as a submerged flow structure. Economic factors limit the maximum flume size.

A properly installed flume is aligned straight with the channel and should be level longitudinally and laterally. Flumes tend to settle in time, with the exit usually becoming lower than the entrance.

The most important dimension in constructing a Cutthroat flume is the throat width, $W$. One of the principal advantages of a Cutthroat flume is that an error in constructing the throat width can be taken into account by writing new free flow and submerged flow ratings using Eq. 2 for free flow and Eq. 6 for submerged flow. If a particular throat width is desired for a concrete Cutthroat flume, a steel angle could be embedded in the concrete, at the throat section.

The experience of the authors, both in the laboratory and field, indicates that a transition structure between the open channel and Cutthroat flume is not necessary. However, the ratio of flow depth to flume length ( $h_{a} / L$ ) should be 0.4 , or less. For most installations in flat gradient channels, this will insure that approach conditions will satisfy the laboratory conditions under which the ratings were developed

Measurements may be made in the flume by the use of staff gages or stilling wells (Fig. 9). Only fair accuracy is obtained from the use of staff gages. When used, a staff gage should be set vertically at the specified location for $h_{a}$ and $h_{b}$ along the converging or diverging wall. The staff gage must be carefully referenced to the elevation of the flume bottom. Use of stilling wells is recommended, however, for accuracy. Stilling wells have the advantage of providing a calm water surface compared with the fluctuation or "bounce" of the water surface that usually exists within the flume. Stilling wells are also necessary if continuous recording instruments are to be used. Under submerged flow conditions, two stilling wells placed adjacent to each other (Figs. $9 b$ and 9 c ) are very desirable and facilitate the use of a double head recording instrument for obtaining a continuous record with time of $h_{a}$ and $h_{b}$.


Figure 9. Plan view of Cutthroat flume showing various methods of constructing stilling wells.

## Fume installation to Insure Free Flow

If circumstances allow, it is preferable to have a flow measuring device operate under free flow conditions. The obvious advantage is that only the upstream flow depth need be measured to determine the discharge. The procedure to follow for installing a Cutthroat flume to operate under free flow conditions is listed below.

1. Determine the maximum flow rate to be measured.
2. At the site selected for installing the flume, locate the high water line on the canal bank and determine the maximum depth of flow.
3. Using Eq. 1, calculate the depth of water that corresponds to the maximum discharge capacity of the canal for a selected flume size.
4. Place the floor of the flume at an elevation which does not exceed $h_{a}$ multiplied by the transition submergence $\left(S_{t} h_{a}\right)$ below the high water line (Fig. 10). Generally, the flume bottom should be placed as high as grade and other conditions permit to insure free flow.

Example 3. A Cutthroat flume of length, $L=4.0$ feer and throat width, $W=1.167$ feet is to be installed for free flow operation (Fig. 10). The maximum flow rate in the channel is 7 cfs.

The transition submergence for this flume can be determined from Fig. 8 as $S_{t}=68.2 \%$. From Example 1, $C_{1}=4.86$ and $n_{1}=1.75$. From Eq. 1, the value of $h_{a}$ that corresponds to 7 cfs can be calculated.

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{a} & =\left(\frac{Q}{C_{1}}\right)^{1 / n_{1}} \\
h_{a} & =\left(\frac{7}{4.86}\right)^{1 / 1.75}=(1.44)^{0.57} \\
& =1.23 \text { feet }
\end{aligned}
$$

The downstream flow depth, $h_{b}$, becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
=h_{b} & =h_{a} S_{t} \\
& =1.23(0.682)=0.84 \text { feet }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the floor of the flume should be placed no lower than 0.84 feet below the high water line in the canal.

Example 4. Suppose the Cutthroat flume size necessary to measure a maximum discharge of 12.5 cfs under free flow conditions must be found. Presently, the maximum flow depth in the canal is 0.95 foot and the head loss does not exceed 0.33 foot. Under these conditions, the maximum downstream flow depth would be 0.95 foot and the maximum upstream flow depth 1.28 feet $(0.95+$ $0.33=1.28$ ). The submergence would be 74 percent $(0.95 \div 1.28=0.74)$. From Fig. 8, we find that the only flumes with a transition submergence greater than 74 percent are those with a length of 6 feet. Therefore, a 9 -foot flume length could be used. To select the proper flume size, enter Table 3 in the appendix under 2-foot flume to obtain a value of $h_{a}$ corresponding to a discharge of 12.5 cfs . For this discharge value, the upstream depth is 1.44 feet, which is greater than the allowable maximum upstream depth of 1.28 feet. Hence, the 2-foot flume will have the capacity to measure the desired discharge, but will produce too great a head loss (1.44-0.95 $=$ 0.49 foot). Consequently, a larger flume size is necessary to satisfy the imposed conditions. From Table 3, we find that the 3 -foot flume has an upstream depth of 1.10 feet for a discharge of 12.5 cfs , and since this value is less than the restrictive depth of 1.28 , it would be selected for use in this particular situation. A larger flume could be used, but the economic factors would make such a selection undesirable. Proper installation of the flume is shown in Fig. 11.


Figure 10. Installation of 14 inch throat width and 4 -foot length Cutthroat flume.


Figure 11. Installation of 3 -foot throat width and 9 -foot length Cutthroat flume.

## Flume Installation for Submerged Flow

The existence of certain conditions, such as insufficient grade or the growth of moss and vegetation, sometimes makes it impossible or impractical to install a flume to operate under free flow conditions. Where such situations exist, a flume may be set in the canal to operate under submerged flow conditions. The principal advantage of submerged flow operation is the smaller head loss which occurs in the flume as compared with free flow. This reduction in head loss may mean that the canal banks upstream from the flume do not have to be raised to enable the same maximum flow capacity in the canal that existed prior to the installation of the flume. When a flat-bottomed Cutthroat flume is installed to operate under subnerged flow conditions, the flume floor may be placed at the canal bottom. This placement will allow quicker drainage of the canal section upstream from the flume, particularly for flow rates which are less than the maximum discharge. The following procedure should be used in placing a Cutthroat flume to operate under submerged flow conditions.

1. Determine the maximum flow rate to be measured
2. On the canal bank, where the flume is to be installed, locate the high water line to determine the maximum flow depth.
3. Giving consideration to the amount of freeboard in the canal at maximum discharge and maximum flow depth, determine how much higher the water surface can be raised in the canal upstream from the flume location.
4. With the floor of the flume being placed at essentially the same elevation as the botton of the canal, the maximum depth of flow (step 2) becomes $h_{b}$, and the additional amount that the water surface in the canal can be raised (step 3), becomes $h_{a}-h_{b}$. Using this information, the submergence, $h_{b} / h_{a}$, can be computed.
5. Select the flume length desired. Then, from Fig. 8 determine the values of $S_{t}, n_{1}, K_{2}$, and $n_{2}$ that correspond to the chosen flume length.
6. Calculate the value of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ using the following equation

$$
c_{2}=\frac{Q(-\log 5)^{n_{2}}}{\left(h_{a}-h_{b}\right)^{n_{1}}}
$$

7. The throat width, $W$, can now be calculated by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ==\left(\frac{C_{2}}{K_{2}}\right)^{0.976}
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of $W$ obtained from these equations is the smallest value that can be used and not exceed the upstream depth which was determined in step 4. If this value is not a convenient dimension, it should always be rounded upward. If the throat width is rounded downward, the allowable head loss will be exceeded.

Example 5. A Cutthroat flume is to be installed for submerged flow operation. The maximum flow rate in the channel is 7 cfs . The maximum flow depth in the channel is 1.5 feet. The maximum amount that the upstream depth can be raised is 0.2 feet. A flume length of 9 feet is selected. From Fig. 8 the values of $S_{t}, n_{1}, n_{2}$, and $k_{2}$ are determined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{t} & =0.80 \\
n_{1} & =1.560 \\
n_{2} & =1.380 \\
k_{2} & =1.70 \\
h_{a} & =1.7 \text { feet } \\
h_{b} & =1.5 \text { feet } \\
S & =0.88 \\
-\log S & =0.0555
\end{aligned}
$$

From Eq. 4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{2} & =\frac{Q(-\log S)^{n_{2}}}{\left(h_{a}-h_{b}\right)^{n_{1}}} \\
& =\frac{7(0.0555)^{1.380}}{(0.2)^{1.560}} \\
& =\frac{7(0.0179)}{(0.081)} \\
& =1.55
\end{aligned}
$$

From Eq. 6,

$$
\begin{aligned}
W & =\left(\frac{C_{2}}{K_{2}}\right)^{0.976} \\
& =\left(\frac{1.55}{1.70}\right)^{0.976} \\
& =(0.912)^{0.976} \\
& =0.914 \text { feet }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, a flume width of 1 foot should be used. The flume would be installed at the elevation of the original floor of the canal.

## Maintenance of Cutthroat Flumes

After a Cutthroat flume has been properly installed, periodic maintenance is required to insure satisfactory operation. Moss may collect on the walls of the entrance section and must be removed. In some channels, debris may collect on the floor of the entrance section, and should be removed. Walls of steel Cutthroat flumes may become encrusted and this should be removed with a steel-wire brush. Once the walls have been scraped clean, applying asphaltic paint will add to the life of the flume and delay the build-up of encrustation.

Commonily, Cutthroat flumes (or any other type of flow measuring flumes) will "settle" after being in operation for a period of time. The levelness of the entrance floor should be checked after a few months of operation, and again at the end of the season or year.

Either "settling" or improper installation can cause a flume to tilt sideways as illustrated in Fig. 12. If the settling is minor, the discharge can still be estimated with fair accuracy by measuring the flow
depths on both sides of the flume. By employing the average of the two readings when using the discharge equations or ratiff tables, the discharge can be determined.

Settlement near the entrance section of a Cutthroat flume is illustrated in Fig. 13. And again, if the settlement is not too great, the discharge can be estimated with fair accuracy.

Settlement occurs most commonly near the exit section, as illustrated in Fig. 14. Settlement is more likely at the outlet because of channel erosion immediately downstream from the flume caused by the jetting action of the water. Use of the flow depths $h_{a}$ or $h_{a}$ and $h_{b}$ to obtain the discharge from the equations or tables will yield values less than the true discharge. The discrepancy between the estimated discharge and the true discharge becomes greater as the amount of settlement increases. Satisfactory solutions to this problem include raising the lower end of the flume so that it is level again or placing a new level floor in the flume.


Figure 12. Cutthroat flume tilted sideways.


Figure 13. Settlement of Cutthroat flume at inlet section.


Figure 14. Settlement of Cutthroat flume at exit section.
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